

Regenerative Medicine: Prolotherapy for the Treatment of Chronic Pain

BRIAN RALSTON, M.D. MACNEAL FAMILY MEDICINE DECEMBER 10, 2023

Disclosures

Brian Ralston, MD has no relevant financial relationships with commercial interests to disclose

Objectives

- Describe the background and mechanisms of dextrose prolotherapy
- Review evidence supporting the safety and efficacy of dextrose prolotherapy
- Describe an example of prolotherapy in the treatment of low back pain
- Discuss translational research: implementing prolotherapy in clinical practice

What is Prolotherapy?

 Injection of substances into tissue to stimulate body's healing response, reduce pain and increase function







Gus Hemwall, MD - 1995



Jeff Patterson, DO



"Tensegrity" - Buckminster Fuller

- A structural principle of isolated components in compression inside a net of continuous tension
- Compressed members (such as bars or struts) do not touch each other
- Tensioned members (cables or tendons) delineate the system spatially.







"Biotensegrity" • Muscles, tendons and fascia provide continuous pull

• Bones float



Fascia

• Do we have 600 muscles?





• Or one muscle and 600 fascial pockets?

Connective Tissue Targets

- Ligaments
- Tendons
- Cartilage Capsules
- Intra-articular



Connective Tissue Healing

Phases
1. Inflammation
2. Granulation
3. Remodeling

Inflammation <u>necessary for healing</u>





Strest faller for the strest of th

Chronic Injury

Gradual

- Tissue microtrauma, initially asymptomatic
 Progressive tissue damage without adequate healing
- Weakness causes pain, alteration in motion and function



Tendinosis vs. "itis"

- No inflammatory cells
- Fragile, thin tendon fibrils
- Disorganized capillary proliferation (neovascularization)



Connective Tissue Insufficiency

- Decreased tensile strength, increased laxity
 Increased firing of mechanoreceptors
- Pain



Risks for Inadequate Healing

- Age, poor nutrition, smoking
- Chronic illness
- Decreased blood supply
- Overuse
- Steroids, NSAIDS



Enthesis

- Site of insertion of connective tissue into bone
- Superficial fibers attach to periosteum
- Deep fibers penetrate bone

"The weakness is in the weld." - George S. Hackett, MD

Prolotherapy Treatment

- Solution: hyperosmolar dextrose (12-25%)
- Osmotic gradient initiates local aseptic inflammatory response
- Focus on entheses and joints to increase ligament and tendon strength, reduce pain



Prolotherapy Mechanisms

- Inflammation stimulates fibroblast formation to repair connective tissue
- Decreases neovascularization
- Decreases pain (ligaments rich in nerves)
- Reconstruct "tensegrity"



Role of Prolotherapy in MSK Medicine

- Repair soft tissue/joint injuries or laxity
 Acute or chronic
 Any accessible ligament, tendon, joint
- Shorten rehabilitation time

Prevent surgery

Indications - Examples

- Cervical, thoracic, lumbar pain
- Rotator cuff injuries, instability
- Tennis elbow (epicondylosis)
- Carpal tunnel, wrist painHip and knee arthritis and pain



Achilles tendinosisPlantar fasciosis

Est have noticed to being	
a · · ·	



Clinical Evaluation

"The best diagnostic tools are at the tips of our fingers." - Jeff Patterson, DO



Palpation



IVIALKI	IIB - 29CL	dl		







Treatment Course

- Average trial: 3 treatments, 2-6 weeks apart
- Medications
 - No NSAIDS or corticosteroids
 Use acetaminophen, other non-NSAIDS prn
- Post-injection
 - Soreness 2-4 days
 Gradual rehabilitation

Safety

- Standard precautions
 Hand hygiene, PPE
- Needle safety
 No recapping, sharps disposal
- Skin prep
 Extra-articular: 70% isopropyl alcohol
 Intra-articular: chlorhexidine-alcohol
- Post-exposure plan
 Test source and exposed persons
 HIV, HCV, HBV
 Consider HIV PEP 3-drug regimen started in 1-2 hours

Risks

- Generally safe
- Infection rare @ 1:50,000 (dextrose is bacteriostatic)
- Needle induced trauma
- Allergic reactions

Contraindications

- Acute infection or inflammatory disease
- · Acute non-reduced subluxations, dislocations, fractures
- Allergies to solution(s)
- Prosthetic joints
- Relative Contraindications
- NSAIDS within 48 hours
- Local injection or systemic corticosteroids within 2 weeks
- Anticoagulation w/high INR
 Cancer









Strength of Evidence					
🗄 Thinkip with control to define					

Research

- Rábago et al, CJSM, 2005

 - Systematic review
 >3609 patients, 12-88 years old
 Pain from months to decades, refractory to multiple prior interventions
 Multitude of diagnoses, e.g., cervical pain, LBP, elbow, shoulder
- Conclusions
 - General clinical success in all studies ranging from 51-82%
 - Minimal adverse events from injections
 Mixed quality, potential for bias but overall positive outcomes

Prolotherapy for Knee Osteoarthritis

Design and Outcome Measure

- Double-blind RCT
- 3 Groups statistically similar demographics
 - Injection: Prolotherapy
 - Injection: Saline control
 At-home exercise
- Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC)
 Pain, stiffness, function

Results: Rábago et al

Results: Rábago et al

- 15.3 point average improvement from baseline in prolo group
- Safe, well tolerated, high satisfaction
- "Prolotherapy resulted in clinically meaningful sustained improvement of pain, function, and stiffness scores for knee osteoarthritis compared with blinded saline injections and athome exercises."
- Dextrose is doing part of the work

Prolotherapy for Low Back Pain

Yelland M, et al. Prolotherapy injections, saline injections, and exercises for chronic low back pain: a randomized trial. Spine. 2004;29(1):9-16

- 110 subjects over 14 years
- $\ensuremath{\,^\circ}$ Dextrose vs. saline w and w/o exercise PT
- Outcomes: pain, disability, 50% pain reduction

Results: Yelland et al

Conclusions: Yelland et al.

- Saline control and dextrose injection subjects both improved
- Safe, satisfactory to patients
- Illustrates methodological challenge of saline or other injection controls: they are active therapy!
- Clinical trial evidence for the efficacy of prolotherapy for low back pain is substantial but is less strong than for knee osteoarthritis.

MacNeal Family Medicine QA

Prolotherapy in a Family Medicine Clinic: A Quality Assessment Study

- Brian Ralston, MD
 Joe Crisman, MD
- David Rábago, MD
- Assess the feasibility of including prolotherapy in a primary care practice
- Evaluate whether prolotherapy will reduce pain and improve function
- Evaluate whether prolotherapy is <u>acceptable and satisfying</u> to patients

Purpose of QI Project – Why do this?

- Important to measure what we do
 e.g., HTN management how many patients reach BP goal?
- Stakeholders need to know whether prolotherapy works Patients
 Colleagues
 Payers
- Demonstrates how to bridge research and applied knowledge
- Implementation of a good idea: Translation to care

Translational Medical Research

MacNeal Hospital

- 374 licensed beds
- ~13,000 annual discharges
- ~48,000 annual ED visits

MacNeal Family Medicine Residency

Privileges

- Created qualifications to practice prolotherapy
 Completion of training course
 Five <u>supervised procedures</u> by a provider with privileges
 Case log of <u>20 cases per 2-year period</u> to maintain
- Reviewed and approved at MacNeal Hospital
 Credentials Committee

 - Medical Executive Committee (MEC) Added as special privilege to Ambulatory Family Medicine "Privilege Card"

Operational Considerations

- Scheduling
- Coding and Billing
- Supplies
- Documentation

Scheduling

- "Prolotherapy Clinic" ½ day per week
- Reserved appointments for prolotherapy
- · Unfilled appointments open to other patients

Coding and Billing

- 1st appointment for evaluation (E&M coding) • Treatment appointments: self-pay, collected
- before visit
- Treatment categories
 Small: hand/wrist, elbow, ankle/foot
 Large: spine, shoulder hip/pelvis, knee

Coding and Billing

- RVU (Relative Value Units)
 - Prolotherapy "small" = 1.7
 Prolotherapy "large" = 2.9

 - Comparisons:
 - 99214 visit (est. patient, moderate) = 1.5 RVU
 20610 (injection, large joint) = 0.79 RVU



Methods

- Record average + highest pain score before treatment (0-10)
- Consent (procedure and QI project)
- Treatment protocol
 - IART procedural guide, clinical judgment
 Record solution volumes and locations injected
- Total # of treatments per condition variable

Results: Acceptance of Therapy

- Number of patients offered: 51
- Number of patients treated: 29
- Acceptance: 56%
- Limitation: unclear denominator (underreporting of patients offered Tx)

Treatment Statistics

- Number of patients treated: 29
- Average patient age: 56 (range 38 86)
- Sex ratio
- 45% Male
 55% Female
- Total number of treatments: 90
- Average number of treatments per patient: 3.1 (range 1-10)

Body Areas Treated

Interim Results: Outcomes Assessment

- 18 patients completed survey
- Data
 - Pain Score (0-10)
 Improvement Score
 Satisfaction Score

 - Willingness to Recommend Score

Per Patient Interim Results: Pain (0-10)

Interim Results: Average Pain Scores

- Average pain (18 patients, 0-10 scale)
 Before treatment: 5.27
- After treatment 6-12 months: 2.55
- Pain difference: 2.72
- Minimum Clinically Important Difference (MCID)
 - Used to interpret the relevance of treatment effects
 Forpain, 1.5-2 considered meaningful and beneficial

Interim Results: Overall Improvement - 7-Point Scale

- +3: very much improved
- +2: much improved
- +1: minimally improved
- 0: no change
- -1: minimally worse
- -2: much worse
- -3: very much worse

Interim Results: Improvement

Interim Results: Satisfaction – 5-Point Scale

+2: very satisfied

- +1: satisfied
- 0: neutral
- -1: unsatisfied
- -2: very unsatisfied

Interim Results: Satisfaction



Results: Willingness to Recommend

QI Study Conclusions

Feasible to integrate prolotherapy into primary care practice

- Initial results from this QI project indicate
 - Acceptance of the therapy by the institution and patients
 Clinical effectiveness based on pain reduction and overall improvement

 - High patient satisfaction, strong willingness to recommend
- · Interim data suggests prolotherapy is acceptable and effective in primary care





tal his impractication is to defined.	
	1



Summary

- Prolotherapy is a safe, effective treatment option for MSK injuries and chronic pain
- Cost-effective, non-opioid, non-surgical
- Increasing use and research in MSK

Thank you!